

January 29, 1976

on the side of the people. This amendment is not for the benefit of the people. My concern, and the only reason I bring this up, and I bring it up very independently, not asked to do so by any other body or any other organization, is that we need to be concerned with low cost housing in this state. Now by adopting the Cavanaugh amendment, we are providing that anyone who manufactures modular homes cannot have the assurance that that home can be marketed even though it has adequate standards in the entire state. Therefore, his production costs are raised and, therefore, it is the consumer, you and I and particularly some people who can afford to live only in modular homes, although that is all we are going to have, for the most part, in the future, are not able to afford them. We are going to either require that those people who buy modular homes come in and do unnecessary things to keep some of the trade happy or we are going to shut out altogether the use of such modular homes in Omaha and some other cities. That is what this amendment is all about. There is no local control. Local control to make it more stringent. You don't have the cities complaining about this. This is pure and simply an amendment that is a special interest group amendment which will increase the cost of housing in this state. The side that is being represented by Senator Cavanaugh, unfortunately, is not in the consumer's interest. It is not in the public interest and for once we have got a congruence, we have got a congruence between a commercial industrial group of organizations who want to market their home at a low cost and the public on the other hand. I urge that we promptly reject the Cavanaugh amendment, leave it like it is, leave it like the way it was when it was introduced to the Committee.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Senator Kennedy.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Mr. President and fellow members, I think we have discussed this originally and we have discussed it several times and I certainly agree with Senator Bereuter's thoughts just ahead. The whole effort of the bill originally is to give these manufacturers in the State of Nebraska an opportunity to manufacture a well built home that is under supervision and to have it available for any part in the State of Nebraska, and also, that we'll be in correspondence with the states surrounding so that they have a market for their particular homes to sell and the whole effort is that this shall be in equal to the other surrounding states and there are no objections from the cities themselves, as far as the uniform code that was originally written into this bill so that the amendment has brought back and I don't think that we should adopt Senator Cavanaugh's amendment in any way on this particular situation. We ought to stay with the wording as it was originally. So I really urge you that this is what the whole thing is about so we have a uniform code so that these houses can be marketed in all cities and also in the surrounding states. I urge you not to adopt the amendment that Senator Cavanaugh has just offered.